

MARTIN T. MEEHAN
5TH DISTRICT, MASSACHUSETTS
http://www.house.gov/meehan/
2229 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-3411 FAX (202) 226-0771



Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 19, 2003

DISTRICT OFFICES
11 KEARNEY SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR
LOWELL, MA 01852
(978) 459-0101 FAX (978) 459-1907

305 ESSEX STREET
4TH FLOOR
LAWRENCE, MA 01840
(978) 681-6200 FAX (978) 682-6070

HAVERHILL CITY HALL
2ND FLOOR, ROOM 201 A
4 SUMMER STREET
HAVERHILL, MA 01830
(978) 521-1845 FAX (978) 521-1843

COMMITTEES
ARMED SERVICES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON TERRORISM, UNCONVENTIONAL THREATS AND
CAPABILITIES, RANKING MEMBER
SUBCOMMITTEE ON TOTAL FORCE
JUDICIARY
SUBCOMMITTEE ON COURTS, THE INTERNET & INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY
SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM & HOMELAND SECURITY

Bryant L. VanBrakle
Secretary
Federal Maritime Commission
800 North Capitol Street, NW
Washington, DC 20573

RE Petition P3-03

RECEIVED
03 SEP 29 PM 2:39
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Dear Commissioners

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act (OSRA)* revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCs were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S. ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented consolidation among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition its utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely,

MARTY MEEHAN
Member of Congress

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

